2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

26

27

28

	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e						
1	PAUL S. PADDA, ESQ. (NV Bar #10417)						
2	Email: psp@paulpaddalaw.com PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC						
	4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300						
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89103						
4	Tele: (702) 366-1888 Fax: (702) 366-1940						
5	-and-						
	ANTONIO M. ROMANUCCI, ESQ. (Admitted	l PHV)					
6	Email: aromanucci@rblaw.net						
7	PATRICK DRISCOLL, ESQ. (Admitted PHV) Email: pdriscoll@rblaw.net						
8	ROMANUCCI & BLANDIN, LLC						
	321 North Clark Street, Suite 900						
9	Chicago, Illinois 60654						
10	Tele: (312) 458-1000						
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kristina Kerlus						
12							
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA					
14							
15	KRISTINA KERLUS, individually;						
16	D1 : .: c2	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02352-NJK					
	Plaintiff,	SECOND AMENDED					
17	VS.	SECOND AMENDED					
18	DR. JENNIFER CORNEAL, in her	COMPLAINT					
19	individual capacity; A. SANTOS, in her						
	individual capacity; COUNTY OF CLARK,	AND					
20	a municipal corporation; LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE	JURY DEMAND					
21	DEPARTMENT; jointly and severally,						
22							
	Defendants.						
23							
24	NOW COMES the Plaintiff, KRISTIN	A KERLUS, individually, by and thro					

ough her undersigned attorneys, files her Second Amended Complaint against the Defendants, Dr. JENNIFER CORNEAL ("Dr. Corneal"), in her individual capacity; A. SANTOS ("Santos"), in her individual capacity; COUNTY OF CLARK ("Clark County"), a municipal corporation, and

7

Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Fele: (702) 366-1988 • Fax (702) 366-1940 4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300

PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC

17

23

24 25

26

27

28

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT ("LVMPD") stating unto this Court as follows:

Document 58-1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Nevada state law against Defendants, Corneal, Santos, Clark County, and the LVMPD.
- 2. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims.
- 3. Forum is proper based on the situs of the incident, which occurred in the City of Las Vegas.

PARTIES

- 4. At all pertinent times Plaintiff, Kristina Kerlus, was a United States citizen and a resident of the State of Nevada.
- 5. At all pertinent times, Defendant, Dr. Corneal, was employed as a pathologist by the Clark County Coroner's Office, a department of Clark County, and was acting within the scope of her employment and under color of law.
- 6. At all pertinent times, Defendant, Santos, badge number 7200, was employed as a detective by Defendant, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department ("LVMPD"), and was acting within the scope of her employment and under color of law.
- 7. Santos, as a sworn police officer, had taken an oath, the Law Enforcement Code of Ethics, that stated, in pertinent part: "As a sworn police officer, my fundamental duty is to serve the community; to safeguard lives and property; to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation and the peaceful against violence or disorder; and to respect the constitutional rights of all to liberty, equality and justice."
- 8. Defendant, Clark County, was, at all relevant times, a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9).	Defendant, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police De	partment, is a political subdivision		
of the St	ate of	Nevada and employed Defendants Corneal and	d Santos. The LVMPD is liable for		
all state law torts committed by Corneal and Santos while they were employed by the LVMPD					
pursuant	to the	doctrine of respondeat superior. The LVMPD	is responsible for its own policies		
practices	s, and	customs.			

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. On October 4, 2018, 12-week-old J.D. spent an uneventful day with his mother, Kristina Kerlus, and father, Jaevone Davis.
 - 11. That evening, Plaintiff breastfed J.D. and placed him next to her on their bed.
- 12. The next morning, October 5, 2018, after preparing a bottle of formula for J.D., Plaintiff left for work at approximately 8:30am. J.D. was sleeping when she left for work.
- 13. J.D. had an appointment scheduled with his pediatrician for later that day for suspected complications from Sickle Cell Trait ("SCT").
- 14. Approximately one hour after Plaintiff left for work, Mr. Davis awoke and saw that J.D. was crying while lying on his stomach on the bed. "He was real crabby for some reason."
- 15. Mr. Davis attempted to feed J.D. with the bottle but was having difficulty feeding him. Normally a happy baby, Mr. Davis later told investigators that "[J.D.] don't feel like he's giving me the energy that he gives me."
- 16. Mr. Davis took J.D. into the kitchen and began splashing water on J.D. He then called Plaintiff and called 911 at 9:39 a.m. The 911 operator instructed Mr. Davis to start chest compressions, which he did until paramedics arrived and transported the baby to Summerlin Hospital. By this time, J.D. was in cardiac arrest.
- At Summerlin Hospital, doctors diagnosed a brain bleed. A CT scan was run. The 17. scan revealed:
 - a. No evidence of scalp swelling;
 - **b.** No skull fractures;
 - c. Fluid "consistent with" subdural hemorrhage;

Case

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

d.	Diffuse	subarachnoid	hemorrhage:
----	---------	--------------	-------------

- e. No definite bleeding within the brain substance;
- **f.** Features concerning for brain swelling.
- 18. Concerned that the baby exhibited signs of Shaken Baby Syndrome ("SBS"), the Summerlin doctors notified the LVMPD and Child Protective Services ("CPS").
 - 19. J.D. was transported to University Medical Center ("UMC") for further treatment.
- 20. While at UMC, J.D.'s condition declined. He was placed on life support and tragically succumbed to his condition on October 7, 2018.
- 21. When J.D. was born, blood tests revealed abnormal hemoglobin levels. Shortly after, J.D. was diagnosed with SCT, a genetic condition that can cause the sickling of red blood cells and various health complications.

Dr. Corneal's Autopsy

- 22. On October 8, 2018, Dr. Jennifer Corneal, a forensic pathologist for the Clark County Coroner's Office, was assigned to perform the autopsy on J.D.
- 23. Dr. Corneal reviewed the medical records from Summerlin Hospital and UMC. She noted that there were "no outward signs of trauma to explain decedent being in medical distress."
- 24. Dr. Corneal was already aware that doctors at Summerlin Hospital were concerned that the baby exhibited signs of SBS.
- 25. Dr. Corneal was aware of a previous incident at a daycare on September 18, 2018, where Plaintiff noticed a cut and bruise on J.D.'s left leg, and that J.D. had been acting "cranky and eating less since the incident."
- 26. Dr. Corneal's autopsy revealed "extensive subdural and subarachnoid hemorrhage with associated cerebral edema and herniation." She also noted "subdural hemorrhage down the length of the spinal cord" and "hemorrhage of the posterior aspect of the eye and within the optic nerves."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	27.	Dr. Corneal's autopsy noted "extensive retinal and sub-retinal hemorrhages, option
nerve	sheath h	emorrhage and optic nerve edema bilaterally, as well as focal early necrosis of the
left op	otic nerv	e."

- Dr. Corneal also noted healing posterior left-sided rib fractures of the 9th and 10th 28. ribs.
- 29. Dr. Corneal, in a classic example of Confirmation Bias, quickly concluded that the "triad" of symptoms demonstrated evidence of "Shaken Baby Syndrome," or "Abusive Head Trauma."
- 30. Dr. Corneal conducted microscopic analysis of J.D.'s organs but failed to document that there was clear and obvious evidence of widespread SCT in each organ. .
- 31. Dr. Corneal knew but consciously discarded the idea that J.D.'s condition was the result of a rare, but known, complication of SCT.
- 32. Dr. Corneal noted that J.D.'s heart was nearly double the normal heart size for an infant of his size/weight but failed to attribute any significance to the finding.
- 33. Dr. Corneal was aware of J.D. having pulmonary hypertension and having been ill and vomiting in the weeks prior to October 5. She did not seek to reconcile these findings with her diagnosis.
- 34. Dr. Corneal observed that J.D. did not exhibit any evidence of diffuse axonal injury, including that caused by trauma, which would have been present had the baby been subjected to a whiplash-like shaking or abusive head trauma.
- 35. Given the evidence in the autopsy, any reasonably well-trained pathologist acting in good faith would have concluded that J.D.'s death was the result of complications from SCT, which readily explained the anoxia-ischemic encephalopathy due to subarachnoid hemorrhages complicating the rupture of a thrombosed cerebral artery. Instead, Dr. Corneal consciously ignored any evidence inconsistent with SBS and fabricated a cause of death as "blunt force head and neck trauma." She classified the manner of death as "homicide."
 - 36. Dr. Corneal's fabricated conclusion provided probable cause that a crime occurred.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	37.	No reasonably well-trained pathologist acting in good faith would have concluded
1	that the evide	nce supported that a crime occurred.

- 38. Dr. Corneal's conclusion was intentionally and deliberately false or made with reckless disregard for the truth. It was not the product of a mistake or oversight.
- 39. Dr. Corneal's fabricated evidence and false statements on the autopsy report was relied on by Santos and ultimately, the Clark County Prosecutor and Magistrate Judge who approved an arrest warrant for Plaintiff on July 26, 2019.

Santos' Police Investigation

- 40. On October 5, 2018, Defendant, Santos, interviewed Plaintiff and Mr. Davis to obtain a timeline of events of the previous few days and information about J.D.'s health since birth.
- 41. From the interviews with the parents, Santos learned that J.D., who was of mixed race, had been diagnosed with Sickle Cell Trait ("SCT"). She also learned that J.D. had been born premature and underweight.
 - 42. Santos learned that Mr. Davis had a positive history for SCT.
- 43. Santos learned that the baby did not exhibit any signs of distress the day and evening before he was taken to the hospital.
- 44. Santos learned that the baby was sleeping when Plaintiff went to work at approximately 8:30 a.m. on October 5, 2018, after preparing a bottle of formula.
- 45. Santos learned that the baby began showing signs of distress in the morning after Plaintiff left for work.
- 46. Santos learned that the baby began showing signs of distress while in the care of Mr. Davis and that he called 911 to summon help.
- 47. Once armed with Dr. Corneal's fabricated evidence of a homicide, it was simply a matter of Santos deciding which parent (or both) to charge.
- 48. Despite all of the evidence showing that J.D. was not symptomatic of anything when Plaintiff left for work, Santos deliberately wrote the following false statements in her report:
 - a. "Per the timeline provided, Kristina was the primary caregiver of [J.D.]"

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

b.	"Kristina woke up the next morning leaving [J.D.] in the bed and pumped
	versus waking up the child to feed. [J.D.] was already symptomatic by this
	time."

- "When Jaevone woke [J.D.] was already unresponsive."
- d. "It has been determined that the mother, Kristina Kerlus, was responsible for the injuries that led to the death of her child, [J.D.]"
- 49. On July 26, 2019, almost ten months after J.D.'s death, and despite no new evidence linking Plaintiff to the crime, Santos submitted an arrest warrant for Plaintiff only, charging her with murder, NRS 200.010, 200.030.1, 200.508 - NOC 50005; and Child Abuse, Neglect or Endangerment Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm, "to wit: by causing head and/or neck trauma to the said J.D., resulting in brain and/or spinal cord hemorrhage [sic] by shaking the said J.D. or by manner and/means unknown."
- 50. The Clark County prosecutor who recommended the murder warrant did not conduct an independent investigation of the case, instead relying on Defendants' representations to determine that probable cause existed for Plaintiff's arrest.
- 51. On July 26, 2019, Plaintiff was arrested and charged with the murder of her infant son. When Plaintiff asked why she was being arrested, Santos responded "because I had to charge one of you."

POST-ARREST DEVELOPMENTS

- 52. Plaintiff's criminal attorney, Ryan Helmick, sought the assistance of a forensic pathologist, Dr. Evan Matshes, to review the autopsy findings of Dr. Corneal. Dr. Matshes was able to demonstrate that the cause of death was complications from SCT, and not head and neck trauma as described by Dr. Corneal. Dr. Matshes noted that "evaluation of the organs and tissues retained by the Clark County Coroner's Office demonstrated objective evidence of widespread sickling of red blood cells."
- 53. Dr. Corneal's autopsy report did not mention sickling of any cells in microscopic examination of organs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

54.	Dr. Matshes concluded that the only reasonable classification for the death was
"Natural."	

- 55. In 2023, The Clark County Prosecutor's Office had Dr. Nathan Shaller of the Clark County Coroner's Office re-examine the evidence, including the autopsy slides first reviewed by Dr. Corneal.
 - 56. Dr. Shaller's report concluded:

Acute intracranial bleeding with intradural venous thrombosis, not definitively related to trauma, and unexplained thromboemboli. Of note, spontaneous (atraumatic) intracranial thrombosis is a reported complication of sickle cell disease and other thrombophilic conditions. The role of sickle cell trait or disorders of clotting/hemolysis cannot be fully excluded. Also confounding is the reported history of two separate incidents of cardiopulmonary arrest with related hypoxicischemic injury; subsequent encephalopathy may cause the subdural hemorrhage and all intracranial findings in the absence of trauma As neither the role of an unsafe sleep environment nor the cause of the initial cardiopulmonary arrest can be definitively ascertained, the cause and manner of death are both classified as Undetermined.

- 57. Based on Dr. Shaller's findings, coupled with those of Dr. Matshes, the Clark County Prosecutor's Office voluntarily dismissed criminal charges on or about December 19, 2023.
- 58. The dismissal demonstrated that but for Dr. Corneal's fabricated findings and false statements and material omissions in J.D.'s autopsy report, probable cause that a crime occurred was lacking.
- 59. Dismissal of the criminal charges constitutes a favorable termination of the criminal proceedings. Thompson v. Clark, 596 U.S. 36, 49; 142 S.Ct. 1332; 212 L.Ed.2d 382 (2022) (For favorable termination, "[a] plaintiff need only show that the criminal prosecution ended without a conviction.").

LVMPD'S History of Unconstitutional Policies and Practices

- 61. Officers and detectives of the LVMPD have a documented history of fabricating evidence and deliberately making false statements and omissions of material facts in order to manufacture probable cause.
- 62. LVMPD officers and detectives have a constitutional obligation to refrain from fabricating evidence, making false statements, and omitting material facts when determining probable cause.
- 63. LVMPD officers and detectives have a duty to make truthful disclosures to prosecutors and judges determining whether probable cause exists.
- 64. Despite these standards and duties, LVMPD detectives and officers have a pattern of fabricating evidence, making false statements, omitting material facts, and suppressing exculpatory evidence in order to manufacture probable cause. What follows are several examples:
 - a. Kirstin Blaise Lobato: In 2001, the 18-year-old Lobato was wrongfully arrested and later convicted of a murder she did not commit. As a result of LVMPD detectives' fabrication, concealment, and distortion of evidence, Ms. Lobato spent sixteen years in prison for a crime she did not commit.
 - i. Several weeks after Ms. Lobato had been the victim of an attempted rape during which she defended herself by using a small knife to cut her assailant in the groin area, Duran Bailey was found murdered, severely beaten, and with his penis cut off.
 - ii. LVMPD detectives falsely linked these two events despite them occurring at different locations, weeks apart, and with almost no similarities. LVMPD detectives misled Ms. Lobato during an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	940	
	?) 366-1	
(Tele: (702) 366-1888 • Fax (702) 366-1940	
	1888 • I	
U	12) 366-	
	ele: (70	
	I	

interview,	inserted	facts a	bout	Bai	ley's	murc	ler	which	we	re
unknown t	o her, sele	ctively 1	record	led p	ortion	s of t	he i	nterviev	v, aı	nd
misreprese	nted her	account	t of	the	assaul	t as	a (confession	on	to
Bailey's m	urder.									

- iii. LVMPD detectives also ignored, suppressed, and manipulated several witness statements attesting to the fact that Ms. Lobato was visiting family nearly two hours outside of Las Vegas in the days leading up to and when Bailey's body was discovered.
- iv. In 2017, evidence showing that she had an uncontested alibi during the time Bailey was murdered led to her exoneration and eventual release the following year.
- b. Jeffrey Port: In 2005, LVMPD officer Eric Barros fabricated evidence, created false reports, and lied in a search warrant application, leading to the wrongful arrest of Port.
 - i. Barros was allocated department funds to conduct a controlled narcotics purchase from Mr. Port, whom he claimed was a large marijuana distributor.
 - ii. Barros had attempted and failed to purchase any drugs from Port on several occasions and instead used to funds to fuel his gambling addiction. Barros then fabricated evidence by submitting two bags containing a hay-like substance to evidence, claiming they were drugs purchased from Mr. Port.
 - iii. Barros detailed the false drug buy in a search warrant affidavit, which was subsequently approved by a judge. Mr. Port was then arrested, and felony drug charges were initiated against him based entirely on Barros' lies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

c.	Keyherra Green: In 2018,	Keyherra	Green was	wrongly	arrested	for the
	murder of a man she had ne	ver met.				

- i. Months prior to the murder, LVMPD officers conducted a welfare check on the murder victim at his home where they met the actual perpetrator, Keara Green.
- ii. In a recorded interaction, officers learned that Green was from Iowa, had a Texas driver's license, had two children, and had a large gap between her two front teeth.
- iii. When the murder victim's body was discovered in the same home they had previously visited, LVMPD detectives did not review the footage and instead identified Keyherra Green based off a previous arrest for trespassing in a nearby area.
- iv. Keyherra Green had a different birth date, was not from Iowa, did not have a Texas driver's license, did not have two children, did not have a gap in her front teeth, and lived in Los Angeles.
- v. Keyherra Green was arrested on murder charges following a probable cause affidavit fabricating that the LVMPD detectives reviewed the bodycam footage identifying here as on the scene during the wellness check months prior.
- vi. Charges against Keyherra were dropped and she was released from custody after the bodycam footage was actually reviewed and the detectives fabrication as uncovered.
- d. Jesus Carvajal: In 2018, Carvajal was wrongly arrested and charged with sexual assault, kidnapping, and impersonating an officer. As a result of the actions of LVMPD, Carvajal lost his job, car, home, partner, and suffered reputational damage as his arrest and charges were made public.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

i.	Several Las Vegas sex workers previously reported being assaulted
	and extorted by a man posing as a LVMPD officer.

- ii. The victims described the perpetrator's physical appearance, vehicle, phone-number, and other details to officers. LVMPD detectives identified Carvajal based on his vehicle, which was a different model than that described by the victims.
- iii. LVMPD detectives then mislead a judge in order to obtain an arrest and search warrant, falsely claiming that all victims had definitively identified Carvajal in a photo lineup. In actuality, only one victim identified Carvajal with certainty and another victim reported that she was certain none of the photos, including Carvajal's, depicted the suspect.
- iv. Additionally, LVMPD detectives did not disclose that the victim who identified Carvajal with certainty called detectives shortly after to report that she had just seen the suspect soliciting women in downtown Las Vegas, at the same time Carvajal was being surveilled by police in his home.
- v. LVMPD detectives mischaracterized photos of Carvajal and his professional paintball team to the judge as photos of Carvajal armed and wearing tactical police gear.
- vi. When the real suspect was arrested, all charges against Carvajal were dropped and he was released from custody.
- 65. The City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department knew or should have known, prior to Plaintiff's arrest, that LVMPD's pattern and practice of unconstitutional evidence collection, analysis, disclosure, and deliberate manufacturing of probable cause has violated and would continue to violate its citizen's constitutional rights.

Clark County Coroner's Office's History of Unconstitutional Policies and Practices

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	66.	Clark County and the Clark County Coroner's Office have a custom, policy, and
practi	ce of fai	ling to adequately train, supervise, and or discipline employees concerning proper
and co	onstitutio	onally adequate evidence collection, analysis, and disclosure.

- 67. This custom, policy, and practice is evidenced by a history of employees of the Clark County Coroner's Office fabricating evidence and making false statements and omissions of material facts in reports prepared for and being disclosed to law enforcement personnel. What follows are several examples:
 - a. Eva Cruz and Omar Lopez Jimenez: In 2012, the infant child of Eva Cruz and Omar Lopez Jimenez died after receiving several vaccines. Dr. Lisa Gavin of the Clark County Coroner's Office and an investigator with the Coroner's Office fabricated details of the baby's death, falsely claiming that the baby had died of positional asphyxia after being found face-down and unresponsive.
 - i. Eva Cruz reported that an investigator with the Clark County Coroner's Office, who's report Dr. Gavin based her findings on, only spoke with her about the circumstances surrounding her baby's death for a few minutes, asked very brief questions, and did so immediately after the baby's death.
 - ii. Following a complaint filed by the baby's parents in the U.S. District Court of Federal Claims, a judge concluded that the coroner's statements alleging that the baby was found face-down and died of positional asphyxia were inconsistent with other medical findings, contemporaneous records, and the testimony of witnesses.
 - b. Susan Winters: In 2015, Susan Winters died after ingesting antifreeze and prescription painkillers. In a report created by an investigator with the Clark County Coroner's Office and an autopsy done by a pathologist with

11

13 14

15

Tele: (702) 366-1888 • Fax (702) 366-1940

Vegas, Nevada 89103

4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300

PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

the Clark County Coroner's Office, her manner of death was falsely classified as suicide.

- i. Winters' family was highly doubtful that Winters would have taken her own life and hired a private investigator. It was revealed during that investigation that Winters' husband, Gregory Dennis, had fed her the antifreeze and oxycodone, thereby causing her death. The Clark County Prosecutor's Office reopened her case in 2016, and Dennis pled guilty to voluntary manslaughter charges in 2022.
- ii. During that investigation, the investigator for the Clark County Coroner's Office who was responsible for initially classifying Winters death as a suicide admitted under oath that she spent less than two hours on the investigation, and that she had based her conclusions of suicide on information provided entirely by Gregory Dennis.
- c. Christian Walker: In 2023, Walker, an inmate at Southern Desert Correctional Center, died while in custody after being beaten by correctional officers on two separate occasions. The Clark County Coroner's Office fabricated the cause of Walker's death.
 - i. After the first beating, Walker was hospitalized with severe injuries, including swollen and bruised eyes, uncontrolled bleeding from his scalp and face, and blunt force trauma on several parts of his body. After returning to the facility, Walker was again beaten by correctional officers and left in the infirmary cell.
 - ii. The next morning, Walker was found deceased on the floor of the cell covered in blood. In the autopsy, the Clark County Coroner confirmed Walker's injuries, but ruled that he died of natural causes related to a heart issue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

iii.	Walker's family hired Dr. Larry Simms, a former Clark County
	Medical examiner, to do an independent evaluation. Dr. Simms
	found evidence that Walker's death was related to blunt force
	trauma, which directly contradicted the coroner's determination.
tric	k Odale: In 2023, Odale, an inmate at Southern Desert Correctional
enter	, died while in custody. The Clark County Coroner's Office falsely

- d. Pa Ce claimed a major cause of Odale's death was trace amounts of drugs in his system in an attempt to conceal the force used by correctional officers.
 - i. Witnesses claim that he pleaded for medical help during an asthma attack before correctional officers beat, pepper-sprayed, and restrained him. Odale was taken to the infirmary following the incident and pronounced dead shortly after.
 - ii. An autopsy done by the Clark County Coroner's Office showed photos of bruises covering Odale's back, arms, wrists, and lips, but described the injuries as minor blunt force trauma. The coroner cited trace amounts of drugs in his system as a major contributor to his death.
 - iii. Upon information and belief, the coroner's office worked with prison officials to conceal the extent of Odale's injuries and downplay the force used by officers.
- e. Allan Moore: In 2023, Moore was charged with manslaughter in connection with the death of a woman from a drug overdose. Clark County Corner's Officer fabricated details of the woman's autopsy to advance the prosecution of Moore.
 - i. Moore was accused of selling the deceased woman drugs, which the Clark County Coroner's Office reported to have caused her death.

Document 58-1

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Tele: (702) 366-1888 • Fax (702) 366-1940 Vegas, Nevada 89103

4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300

PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC

- ii. Upon information and belief, Moore was targeted due to his criminal record and the coroner's office falsified the toxicology report to support his prosecution.
- iii. Upon information and belief, Dr. Larry Simms, a former pathologist for Clark County, reviewed the case and found the coroner's conclusions were unsupported.
- iv. Simms claimed that the woman had an amount of cocaine in her system that would likely need to be ten times higher in order to cause an overdose, and that the amount of fentanyl in her system was so small it would be difficult to determine that it caused her death.
- f. Melissa Olteanu: In 2022, the Clark County Coroner's Office falsified the autopsy report of Olteanu's late husband.
 - i. In 2022, Olteanu's daughter found her husband deceased on the floor of their house, lying in a pool of blood with his head underneath a chair and with a hammer nearby.
 - ii. The Clark County Coroner's report listed his cause of death as a heart attack due to cardiomyopathy. The only physical injury noted in the report is a small abrasion on the deceased's lip, ignoring the large pool of blood he was found in.
 - iii. The coroner's office denied Olteanu's requests for unredacted photos of her husband's body showing his face.
- 68. The unconstitutional conduct of the Clark County Coroner's Office and its employees, as well as the failure to train, supervise, and discipline employees, is further evidenced by a documented history of systemic issues within the office. The following are several examples:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

a.	After a change in management in 2015, nearly a quarter of the full-time									
	staff at t	he Cla	rk County C	oroner	's Office	e left t	heir po	ositions. Severa	al of	
	these fo	ormer	employees	cited	issues	with	poor	management,	an	
	unprofes	ssional	work atmosp	here, a	ınd lack	of ove	rsight	as reasons for t	heir	
	departur	e.								

- b. Previous employees of the Clark County Coroner's Office have reported experiencing favoritism, sexual misconduct, and retaliation in the workplace.
- c. In 2019, the Clark County Coroner's Office was placed on provisional accreditation by the National Association of Medical Examiners, who cited a Phase II deficiency due to the office's failure to complete autopsies in a timely manner.
- d. In 2020, it was reported that the Clark County Coroner's Office was suffering from chronic staffing issues, including a shortage of forensic pathologists, investigators, and other staff. At that time, the Assistant Coroner confirmed that the office was operating with three fewer forensic pathologists than needed.
- 69. Clark County, on and before October 8, 2018, knew or should have known of the illegal and unconstitutional actions of the Clark County Coroner's Office and its employees. Despite this pattern of unconstitutional actions, Clark County did not train, supervise, and or discipline employees concerning proper and constitutionally adequate evidence collection, analysis, and disclosure, including the duty not to fabricate evidence, and to make candid and truthful disclosures in their reports.
- 70. The individual Defendants, and the policies and customs of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and Clark County as set forth herein, were a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages, including:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a.	Suffering a deprivation of liberty by being wrongfully seized, jailed, and
	restricted from freedom of movement for a period of over three years;

- **b.** Severe emotional distress for the period from her arrest to the present, including, but not limited to: the emotional distress of being charged with the murder of her baby and facing a sentence of life in prison without the possibility of parole; and faced with a criminal trial for crimes she did not commit;
- c. Physical manifestations of emotional distress including, but not limited to, sleeplessness, irritability, loss of appetite, headaches, and other symptoms;
- d. Fright, shock, indignity, humiliation, outrage, and embarrassment of being wrongfully charged with murder;
- e. Loss of custody of her other children;
- Loss of enjoyment of daily activities;
- Loss of employment opportunity, past income, and future earning capacity;
- Many of Plaintiff's injuries and damages are likely to be permanent;
- Other damages which may be revealed through discovery.

14TH AMENDMENT "FABRICATION OF EVIDENCE" BY DEFENDANT DR. CORNEAL

- 71. Plaintiff Incorporates by reference each preceding paragraph as if fully stated herein.
- 72. At all times, Plaintiff had a clearly established constitutional right, secured by the Fourteenth Amendment, not to be subjected to criminal charges based on false evidence that was deliberately fabricated by the government. See Deveraux v. Abbey, 263 F.3d 1070, 1074-75 (9th Cir. 2001) ("[T]here is a clearly established constitutional due process right not to be subjected to criminal charges on the basis of false evidence that was deliberately fabricated by the government.")

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	73.	Dr.	Corneal	deliberate	ly and	knowingly	fabricated	evidence	to	manufacture
probab	ole caus	e for	an arrest	warrant. H	er fabr	ication inclu	ded reportir	ng that J.D	. die	ed because of
"blunt	force h	ead a	nd neck t	rauma," ar	d class	ifying the m	anner of de	ath as "hoi	nic	ide."

74. Dr. Corneal knew that the physical evidence from the autopsy could not rule out complications from SCT and knew that manner of death should have been listed as "Natural" or, at the very least, "Undetermined," neither of which would support criminal charges.

COUNT II 4TH AMENDMENT MALICIOUS PROSECUTION BY DEFENDANT DR. CORNEAL

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding paragraph as if fully stated 75. herein.
- 76. At all times, Plaintiff had a clearly established constitutional right, secured by the 4th Amendment, not to be seized and deprived of liberty because of knowingly or recklessly made false statements or material omissions by a government official to manufacture probable cause. See Franks v. Delaware, 438 U.S. 154, 171-72, 98 S.Ct. 2674, 57 L.Ed.2d 667 (1978) (It was clearly established that an individual has a right not to be seized based on false statements in warrant requests that were intentionally made or made with reckless disregard for their truth and were necessary for a finding of probable cause).
- 77. Defendant, Dr. Corneal, influenced or participated in the initiation of criminal prosecution and continued detention when she deliberately and knowingly fabricated evidence and made false statements and material omissions of facts, which were material to the finding of probable cause and Plaintiff's seizure and continued detention.
- But for Dr. Corneal's fabrication of evidence and deliberate false statements and 78. material omissions in her autopsy report, probable cause that a crime occurred would have been lacking; such conduct constituting a claim of federal "malicious prosecution" under the 4th Amendment. Galbraith v. County of Santa Clara, 307 F.3d 1119, 1126 (9th Cir. 2002) ("[A] coroner's reckless or intentional falsification of an autopsy report that plays a material role in the

Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Fele: (702) 366-1988 • Fax (702) 366-1940 4560 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 300

PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC

false arrest and prosecution of an individual can support a claim under § 1983 and the Fourth Amendment.").

79. Plaintiff's cause of action for federal malicious prosecution became complete when criminal charges were dismissed on December 19, 2022. Dismissal of the criminal charges constitutes a favorable termination of the criminal case.

COUNT III 4TH AMENDMENT MALICIOUS PROSECUTION BY DEFENDANT SANTOS

- 80. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding paragraph as if fully stated herein.
- 81. At all times, Plaintiff had a clearly established constitutional right, secured by the 4th Amendment, not to be seized and deprived of liberty because of knowingly or recklessly made false statements or material omissions by a police officer to manufacture probable cause. See Franks v. Delaware, 438 U.S. 154, 171-72, 98 S.Ct. 2674, 57 L.Ed.2d 667 (1978) (It was clearly established that an individual has a right not to be seized based on false statements in warrant requests that were intentionally made or made with reckless disregard for their truth and were necessary for a finding of probable cause).
- 82. Defendant, Santos, influenced or participated in the initiation of criminal prosecution and continued detention when she deliberately and knowingly made false statements and material omissions of facts which were material to the finding of probable cause and caused Plaintiff's seizure and continued detention.
- 83. But for Santos' deliberate false statements and material omissions in her police report and warrant request, probable cause that Plaintiff committed a crime would have been lacking; such conduct constituting a claim of federal "malicious prosecution" under the 4th Amendment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC

84. Plaintiff's cause of action for federal malicious prosecution became complete when criminal charges were dismissed on December 19, 2022. Dismissal of the criminal charges constitutes a favorable termination of the criminal case.

COUNT IV "MONELL" LIABILITY OF CLARK COUNTY

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding paragraph as if fully stated 85. herein.
- 86. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant, Clark County, did not have adequate procedures and policies in place, including any requirement that forensic autopsy reports be peer reviewed or subjected to any type of administrative review before being disclosed to law enforcement personnel.
- 87. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, no Clark County Coroner's Office employees, including Defendant, Dr. Corneal, received any training from Clark County, in their constitutional obligation to refrain from fabricating evidence to manufacture probable cause for an arrest.
- Up to and through the date of Plaintiff's conviction, no Clark County Coroner's 88. Office employees, including Defendant Dr. Corneal, received any training from Clark County in their constitutional obligation to provide evidence to a prosecutor or magistrate judge that any reasonable person would know was evidence the magistrate judge would want to know.
- 89. Obtaining, handling, and examining evidence in autopsies is a standard, everyday function of forensic coroners throughout the country.
- 90. The creation and submission of investigative and autopsy reports is a standard, everyday function of forensic coroners throughout the country.
- 91. On and before October 8, 2018, Clark County, by and through its final policymakers, had a custom and policy to authorize, condone, tolerate, and approve illegal and unconstitutional actions by Clark County Coroner's Office employees, including Dr. Corneal.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

92.	Dr. Corneal's illegal and unconstitutional actions and practices, as more fully set
forth above,	included, but were not limited to:

- **a.** Fabricating evidence of abusive trauma to the infant to justify the finding of a homicide when she knew that the medical evidence showed the infant died of complications of Sickle Cell Trait (SCT);
- **b.** Knowingly and deliberately fabricating evidence to manufacture probable cause to arrest and/or strengthen a case for conviction;
- Knowingly and deliberately making false statements and/or recklessly omitting material facts in her autopsy report to manufacture probable cause for Plaintiff's arrest and continued detention; and
- d. Other acts and omissions that will be discovered through the discovery process.
- 93. Defendant, Clark County, through its final policymakers, maintained a custom and policy of failing to adequately train, supervise, and/or discipline officers concerning proper and constitutionally adequate evidence collection, analysis, and disclosure, including the duty not to fabricate evidence, and make candid and truthful disclosures to the prosecutor and judges in reports and/or testimony.
- 94. Clark County's customs, practices, and policies, set forth above, demonstrated deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of its citizens, including Kristina Kerlus, and were the moving force behind Dr. Corneal's constitutional violations.
- 95. But for Dr. Corneal's conduct and the policies, practices, and customs of Clark County as set forth above, there would have been no probable cause for an arrest warrant and Plaintiff's deprivation of liberty.

"MONELL" LIABILITY OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT ("LVMPD")

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9	6.	Plaintiff	incorporates	by	reference	each	preceding	paragraph	as	if	fully	stated
herein.												

- 97. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant, The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department ("LVMPD"), did not have adequate procedures and policies in place to prevent deliberate false statements and omissions of material facts from being presented in police reports by LVMPD detectives.
- 98. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, no LVMPD employees, including Defendant Santos, received any training from The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department in their constitutional obligation to refrain from fabricating evidence or making false statements or omission of material facts to manufacture probable cause for an arrest.
- 99. Writing reports and communicating with prosecutors and judges in criminal cases is a standard, everyday function of police detectives throughout the country.
- Making decisions as to whether evidence supports probable cause to seek an arrest 100. warrant in criminal cases is a standard, everyday function of police detectives throughout the country.
- 101. On and before October 8, 2018, LVMPD, by and through its final policymakers, had a custom and policy to authorize, condone, tolerate, and approve illegal and unconstitutional actions by LVMPD employees, including Santos.
- 102. Santos' illegal and unconstitutional actions and practices, as more fully set forth above, included, but were not limited to:
 - a. Arbitrarily deciding to seek the arrest of Plaintiff, despite all of the evidence suggesting that her infant son had not been in her presence for hours before exhibiting signs of distress;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

b.	Knowingly and deliberately making false statements and/or recklessly
	omitting material facts in her police report to manufacture probable cause
	for Plaintiff's arrest and continued detention; and

- Other acts and omissions that will be discovered through the discovery process.
- 103. Defendant, LVMPD, through its final policymakers, maintained a custom and policy of failing to adequately train, supervise, and/or discipline officers concerning proper and constitutionally adequate evidence collection, analysis, and disclosure, including the duty not to fabricate evidence, and make candid and truthful disclosures to the prosecutor and judges in reports and/or testimony.
- LVMPD's customs, practices, and policies, set forth above, demonstrated 104. deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of its citizens, including Kristina Kerlus, and were the moving force behind Santos' constitutional violations.
- 105. But for Santos' conduct and the policies, practices, and customs of LVMPD, as set forth above, there would have been no probable cause for an arrest warrant and Plaintiff's deprivation of liberty.

COUNT VI STATE LAW MALICIOUS PROSECUTION BY **DEFENDANTS DR. CORNEAL and SANTOS**

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding paragraph as if fully stated 106. herein.
- 107. The underlying criminal proceedings against Plaintiff terminated in her favor with the dismissal of the charges in state court on or about December 19, 2022.
- 108. The criminal investigation and prosecution were undertaken without probable cause or good faith, and with malice. NRS 199.310. They were not undertaken with the intention of bringing Plaintiff to justice for having committed the alleged murder of her child. Instead, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

individual Defendants acted to frame Plaintiff for murder and child abuse by fabricating evidence of a crime relating to the natural death of her son. Defendants' malice was evidenced in at least the following ways:

- a. By Dr. Corneal reporting that the baby's death was the result of "blunt force head and neck trauma," and classifying the death as a homicide, when any reasonably competent and trained forensic medical examiner would have realized that the death was caused by complications of SCT and would necessarily have to be classified as "Natural."
- b. By Santos falsely stating in her report that evidence suggested Plaintiff committed the acts that caused the baby's death, when the clear evidence was that she was at work when the baby began exhibiting signs of distress.
- c. By Santos falsely stating that Plaintiff was the primary caregiver of the baby when he became "symptomatic" and that by the time J.D.'s father awoke, J.D. was "already unresponsive."
- d. By Santos deciding to arbitrarily seek Plaintiff's arrest instead of the baby's father "because [she] had to charge one of you."
- 109. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' malicious prosecution, Plaintiff was charged with crimes she did not commit, causing her to suffer the special injuries and damages set forth above.

///

///

///

///

21

22 ///

23 ///

24

25 ///

26 ///

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR DAMAGES

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, KRISTINA KERLUS, prays for damages, jointly and severally as to all Defendants, including:

- a. Past and future compensatory damages, jointly and severally against all Defendants in an amount to be determined by the jury;
- b. Punitive damages as against Defendant, Dr. Corneal;
- c. Punitive damages as against Defendant, Santos;
- d. Reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988;
- e. The costs and disbursements of this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§ 1920;
- f. All damages allowed under Nevada law; and,
- g. Such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

DATED this 23th day of July, 2025.

ROMANUCCI & BLANDIN

By:

Patrick J. Driscoll (pro hac vice)

Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Patrick J. Driscoll

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 38(b) and 42 U.S.C. §1981a, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action on all issues so triable.

DATED this 23th day of July, 2025.

s/ Patrick J. Driscoll By: Patrick J. Driscoll (pro hac vice)

Attorney for Plaintiff